

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.111.5.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT
DIVISION SIX

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

JULIO GALVAN,

Defendant and Appellant.

2d Crim. No. B240798
(Super. Ct. No. VA120637)
(Los Angeles County)

Julio Galvan appeals from the judgment after a jury convicted him of second degree robbery (Pen. Code, § 211)¹ and found that he personally used a firearm (§ 12022.53, subd. (b)). The trial court sentenced appellant to 12 years state prison and ordered appellant to pay a \$200 restitution fine (§ 1202.4, subd. (b)), a \$200 parole revocation fine (§ 1202.45), \$395 victim restitution (§ 1202.4, subd. (f)), a \$40 court security fee (§ 1465.8), a \$30 criminal conviction assessment (Gov. Code, § 70373), and a \$10 fine plus penalty assessments and surcharges (§ 1202.5).

We appointed counsel to represent appellant in this appeal. After counsel's examination of the record, counsel filed an opening brief in which no issues were raised.

¹ All statutory references are to the Penal Code unless otherwise stated.

On August 13, 2012, , we advised appellant that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues he wished us to consider. No response has been received.

The record shows that appellant and three men robbed the Tiki Motel manager in Huntington Park. The victim identified appellant in a photo lineup and appellant's fingerprint was found on the motel office counter. A pair of plaid blue shorts matching the description of the gunman's clothing was found in appellant's residence.

We have reviewed the record and are satisfied that appellant's attorney has fully complied with her responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 443; *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 125-126.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

YEGAN, J.

We concur:

GILBERT, P.J.

PERREN, J.

Patrick T. Meyers, Judge
Superior Court County of Los Angeles

Christine Aros, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant
and Appellant.

No appearance for Respondent.